

From: Dave Garrison [<mailto:davegarrison@mac.com>]
Sent: Friday, October 22, 2010 2:30 PM
To: Driggins, Kimberly (OP)
Cc: Thagard, Aubrey (OP)
Subject: Comp Plan question

Kimberly,

I have a Comp Plan question for you.

When the update originally came through last year, one thing ANC 6B fussed about with OP was that there was no reference to the Hine Jr. High School redevelopment project, an undertaking of considerable moment for Capitol Hill as you can imagine. We sent OP a comment that urged the addition of language to acknowledge the Hine redevelopment project. In the amendments OP released a year ago, here's what you all did:

"The amendment proposal addresses a new policy initiative, adaptive reuse of District-owned facilities [sic]. The new policy should read as follows: "New Policy CH-2.2.7: Hine Junior High School Site - Promote continued investment and redevelopment of Hine Junior High School, an important community public facility, which was closed in Summer 2008. Adaptive reuse of this facility and redevelopment of the site should complement the adjacent neighborhood."

We are puzzled by the decision to describe the Hine project as an "adaptive reuse" opportunity since the plan the city (DMPED) and the community have been pursuing for the past several years (and the basis upon which the Stanton Development team was selected) is the demolition of the school facility and the redevelopment of the block. We think what you really meant to say as regards Hine is something along these lines:

"New Policy CH-2.2.7: Hine Junior High School Site - Promote redevelopment of Hine Junior High School, which was closed in Summer 2008. Redevelopment of the site should complement the adjacent neighborhood."

If we are correct in our sense of what the CP should say on this point, is there a way still to fix this section so it reads more in line with what you and we actually plan to occur on the Hine site?

Dave

Dave Garrison
Commissioner, ANC 6B01
Chair, ANC 6B